

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,892 08/18/2003		Raymond V. Calvesio	RA-5621	3356
27516	7590 10/21/2005	EXAMINER		INER
UNISYS CORPORATION MS 4773			WEBB, JAMISUE A	
PO BOX 649	42	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. PAUL, N	MN 55164-0942	3629	<del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/642,892	<u>.</u>	CALVESIO ET AL				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jamisue A.	Webb	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAtes and the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will c, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaatian ra	auirom ont	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
P,riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
,	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certain	ed copies not receive	· .				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
_	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	,,				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892

Art Unit: 3629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sehr (6,085,976).
- 3. With respect to Claims 1, 23 and 31: Sehr discloses a method of using a data processing system for processing travelers (see abstract) that comprises the steps of:
  - a. Prior to the time of travel, employing the data processing system to enroll a traveler to utilize an automated check in process, Sehr discloses that a user receives a passenger card, where the passenger cars has stored personal information in the card, including ticketing information as well as address and biometrics information (See Column 2, lines 63-67, Column 3, lines 57-67, Column 4, lines 44-53 and Column 5, lines 29-32). The examiner considers this to be enrolling the passenger due to the fact that the user must first register the information in the card, and receive the card before use, therefore enrolling in the system, which uses the card for ticketing and other purposes.
  - b. At the time of travel, utilizing the automated check-in process to complete activities necessary to enable an international border crossing (Column 11, lines 31-59,

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892

Art Unit: 3629

Column 23, lines 21-26 and Column 35, lines 57-62). Sehr discloses that the process of checking-in, tagging the luggage and issuing a boarding pass can also be accomplished automatically via the card's built-in computerized means (Column 35, lines 57-62)

Page 3

- 4. With respect to Claims 2-5, 9-11, 27 and 28: Sehr discloses that background information is loaded into the passenger card. Where the background information is an electronic representation of a passport (Column 14, lines 15-27). Sehr also discloses that information on the card can be matched with information in other government databases (Column 11, lines 56-62 and Column 32, lines 1-18 and 44-47)
- 5. With respect to Claims 6-8, and 26: See Column 17, lines 43-67, Column 20, lines 47-57, and Column 13, lines 4-11)
- 6. With respect to Claim 12: See Column 8, lines 3-58.
- 7. With respect to Claims 13 and 14: Sehr discloses that there is a dialogue between the check-in station and the passenger (Column 32, lines 61-63) and states that forms are filled out by the passengers where the passenger signs a signature pad (Column 41, lines 27-35). The examiner considers this to be a form of a questionnaire, due to the fact that the form has preprinted information, that the passenger has to fill out, or answer.
- 8. With respect to Claim 15: See Column 24, lines 19-53 and Column 34, line 37-38.
- 9. With respect to Claims 16 and 25: See Sehr, Column 7, lines 25-64. Sehr discloses a travel center to be a self-service machine in such places as airports, railroad stations and travel agencies. The examiner considers this to be a form of a kiosk.
- 10. With respect to Claims 17-20, 24, 29, 30 and 32: See Column 41, line 36 to Column 42, line 42.

11. With respect to Claim 21: See Column 4, lines 44-53

12. With respect to Claim 22: See Abstract, and Column 4, lines 26-42.

# Response to Arguments

- 13. With respect to Applicant's arguments with regards to the 101 rejection: the rejection has been withdrawn.
- 14. With respect to Applicant arguments that Sehr does not disclose an automated check-in process without the aid of human intervention: Applicant has also argued that Sehr discloses the control module to capture information and compare the information to what is stored for the passenger (which is an automated process), but applicant argues that this must be done in addition to the manual verification, therefore the process is not automated. As stated by the applicant Sehr discloses that the above check in operations cannot rely solely on manual verification on the day of travel, therefore disclosing the need for other automated verification procedures. Furthermore, Sehr discloses that the process of checking-in by an airline representative can also be accomplished automatically by use of a computer (see Column 35, lines 57-62). The examiner considers this to be using a computer in replace of the airline representative, no along with an airline representative, therefore without the aid of human intervention. Sehr specifically discloses the use of passenger stations with monitor and control access to particular areas, and that the stations are automated kiosks placed in strategic locations (See Column 11), therefore it is the examiner's position that Sehr does disclose an automated

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892

Art Unit: 3629

check-in procedure. Furthermore, as indicated in the rejection, Sehr discloses that many of the manual processes can be automated. Therefore rejections stand as stated above.

### Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892 Page 6

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamisue Webb

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

genel